# Linking anthropogenic activities and benthic communities in industrial and harbour areas: what is the state of the ecosystems?

<u>Elliot Dreujou</u><sup>1</sup> (elliot.dreujou@icloud.com), Philippe Archambault<sup>2</sup>, Christopher McKindsey<sup>3</sup>, Nicolas Desroy<sup>4</sup>, Aurélie Foveau<sup>4</sup> Institut des Sciences de la Mer (UQAR), Rimouski ;<sup>2</sup>Laval University, Québec ; <sup>3</sup>Maurice-Lamontagne Institute, Mont-Joli ; <sup>4</sup>Centre de recherche et d'enseignement sur les écosystèmes côtiers, Dinard



CANADA

Gulf of Saint-Lawrence

In order to determine the ecological state of environments under anthropogenic influence, it is necessary to consider **all human activities** (HA) that are present in the area. HA assessments are generally performed at a high spatial scale (regional, global), **instead of a local scale** (< 100 km), which can limit conservation actions and biodiversity or ecosystem management.

The goal of this project is to develop methods of **local assessment** of HA impacts, by considering the **cumulative** effects of their influences, on the benthic coastal ecosystems. As a case study, we selected the industrial area, harbour and the bay of Sept-Îles (BSI), because of the presence of multiple and diverse HA and because Sept-Îles is ranked the 5<sup>th</sup> Canadian harbour in terms of exchanged ballast waters.



























Hypotheses 1. HA impacts the diversity of benthic communities 2. BSI ecological status is correlated to HA distribution





• distinction between communities with species longer than 500  $\mu$ m, and than 1 mm • distinction between **shallow** stations (< 15 m), and **deep** stations (> 15 m)

Industries



# **FUTURE WORKS**

## Present conclusions

- ► HA impact the communities' characteristic species, along with the total abundance of organisms, but these impacts are not the same.
- Sept-Îles Bay presents a good ecological status, according to M-AMBI index.

Next steps of this project, in order to increase the robustness of conclusions:

- Addition of HA in the model (e.g. fisheries, shipping routes) and definition of their sources
- Update of the HA's influence values according to water circulation and geography
- Verification of species list and reference conditions used for the M-AMBI index
- Test of other ecological indices (e.g. BenthoVal, BEQI)





This project is funded by NSERC program Canadian Healthy Oceans Network and its partners: Department Fisheries and Oceans Canada and INREST (representing Port de Sept-Îles and Ville de Sept-Îles).

I wish to thank all the personnel from Dinard station for their hospitality, and all the benthos lab for their priceless advices.

<u>To go further</u>: Callier *et al.* 2009 (*Mar Pol Bull*) - Côté *et al.* 2016 (*Proc R Soc B Biol Sci*) Halpern *et al.* 2015 (*Nat Comm*) - Pelletier *et al.* 2018 (*Ecol Ind*)

## ЛЕТНODS

Evaluation of HA influence on the communities • distance from the AH's source:

Calculation of M-AMBI index on the communities

- multiple regressions with:
- abundance of *B. neotena* (*Bneo*) - abundance of Nematoda (Nema)
- specific richness (S)
- total abundance (N)
- Shannon diversity (H)
- Piélou evenness (J)



### You can place on the map a variable you want to represent!

Available next to this poster:

- source of each HA (1)
- abundances of *Bneo* and *Nema* (2 and 3)
- M-AMBI index scores, by community (4 and 5)
- ► Abundances of *B. neotena* and Nematoda are explained **the most** by the considered HA.
- Diversity indices are **not linked** to HA.
- Responses to HA are highly variable, without any particular tendancy.
- ► All regressions have a **low explanatory power** (maximum adjusted  $r^2$ : 0.22).

| idie | 1. Links between<br>multiple regre | multiple regressions. |      |   |   |   |   |  |  |
|------|------------------------------------|-----------------------|------|---|---|---|---|--|--|
|      |                                    | Bneo                  | Nema | S | Ν | Η | J |  |  |
| (    | City                               | +                     | -    | ٠ | + | - | • |  |  |
| 2    | Sewers                             | -                     | +    |   |   | + |   |  |  |

Dredging dumping - + . - . .

+ - . + .

- The state of the benthic ecosystems can be considered as "**High**" and "**Good**" in BSI.
- There are no important differences between M-AMBI index values calculated on the 4 types of benthic community.

| Table 2. | Reference conditions, used for M-AMBI index, calculated at the 95 % percentile of the measured values. |
|----------|--|
|          |  |

|   | < 15 m               |      | > 15 m |      |  |
|---|----------------------|------|--------|------|--|
|   | $500 \mu \mathrm{m}$ | 1 mm | 500 µm | 1 mm |  |
| S | 26                   | 12   | 22     | 16   |  |
| H | 2.15                 | 1.84 | 2.54   | 2.28 |  |

